

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,732	02/19/2004	Sohail Baig Mohammed	MS1-1849US	3406
23801 7590 62/28/2008 LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			TAN, ALVIN H	
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

... T.A.

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/782,732
 MOHAMMED ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 ALVIN H. TAN
 2173

(2) David Calcata

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ALVIN H. TAN.	(S) <u>David Sakata</u> .				
(2) <u>Kristina M. Kuhnert</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 19 February 2008.					
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,12,20,26 and 33</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Lin (U.S. Patent No. 6,369,835 B1).					
$ \mbox{Agreement with respect to the claims f)} \mbox{\square was reached. } \mbox{g} \mbox{\square} \m$					

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art of Lin was discussed in relation to the software components mentioned in the independent claims as well as the claimed dynamic loading with respect to claims 26 and 33. Any amendments to change the scope of the invention would require further search and/or consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tadesse Hailu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2173
Examiner's signature. if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Interview Summary Paper No. 20080219